arXiv:1410.6040v2 [math.PR] 13 Jan 2015

Strong Feller properties and uniqueness of sticky reflected distorted Brownian motion

Martin Grothaus *†§ Robert Vosshall*‡§

January 14, 2015

Abstract

Using Girsanov transformations we construct from sticky reflected Brownian motion on $[0,\infty)$ a conservative diffusion on $E := [0,\infty)^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and prove by the probabilistic results of [CK08] that its transition semigroup possesses the strong Feller property for a specified general class of drift functions. By identifying the Dirichlet form of the constructed process, we characterize it as sticky reflected distorted Brownian motion. In particular, the relations of the underlying analytic Dirichlet form methods to the probabilistic methods of random time changes and Girsanov transformations are presented. Moreover, we prove uniqueness of weak solutions to the corresponding stochastic differential equation and apply our results to the dynamical wetting model.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010. *60K35, 60J50, 60J55, 60J35 , 82C41.*
Keywords: *Sticky reflected distorted Brownian motion, strong Feller properties, Skorokhod decomposition, Wentzell boundary condition, interface models.*

1 Introduction

In [FGV14] the authors constructed via Dirichlet form techniques a reflected distorted Brownian motion in $E := [0,\infty)^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with sticky boundary behavior which solves the system of stochastic differential equations

$$d\mathbf{X}_t^i = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\big(\mathbf{X}_t^i\big)\left(\sqrt{2}\,dB_t^i + \partial_i \ln \varrho\big(\mathbf{X}_t\big)\,dt\right) + \frac{1}{\beta}\,\mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}\big(\mathbf{X}_t^i\big)\,dt, \quad i \in I, \tag{1.1}$$

or equivalently

$$d\mathbf{X}_t^i = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\big(\mathbf{X}_t^i\big)\left(\sqrt{2}\,dB_t^i + \partial_i \ln \varrho\big(\mathbf{X}_t\big)\,dt\right) + d\ell_t^{0,i},$$
$$\text{with} \quad \ell_t^{0,i} := \frac{1}{\beta}\int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}\big(\mathbf{X}_s^i\big)\,ds, \quad i \in I, \tag{1.2}$$

*University of Kaiserslautern, P.O.Box 3049, 67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany.
†grothaus@mathematik.uni-kl.de
‡vosshall@mathematik.uni-kl.de
§http://www.mathematik.uni-kl.de/fuana/

weakly for quasi every starting point with respect to the underlying Dirichlet form. Here $I := \{1, \dots, n\}$, β is a real and positive constant and $(B_t^i)_{t\geq 0}$ are one dimensional independent standard Brownian motions, $i \in I$. ϱ is a continuously differentiable density on E such that for all $B \subset I$, ϱ is almost everywhere positive on $E_+(B)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure and for all $\varnothing \neq B \subset I$, $\sqrt{\varrho\big|_{E_+(B)}}$ is in the Sobolev space of weakly differentiable functions on $E_+(B)$, square integrable together with its derivative, where $E_+(B) := \{x \in E \big|\ x_i > 0 \text{ for all } i \in B,\ x_i = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\backslash B\}$. ϱ continuously differentiable on E implies that the drift part $\big(\partial_i \ln \varrho\big)_{i\in I}$ is continuous on $\{\varrho > 0\}$. Moreover, $\ell_t^{0,i}$ is the central local time of the solution to (1.1), i.e., it holds almost surely

$$\ell_t^{0,i} = \frac{1}{\beta}\int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}\big(\mathbf{X}_s^i\big)\, ds = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}\big(\mathbf{X}_s^i\big)\, d\langle \mathbf{X}^i\rangle_s.$$

A solution to the associated martingale problem is even provided under the weaker assumptions that ϱ is almost everywhere positive, integrable on each set $E_+(B)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure and that the respective Hamza condition is fulfilled.
This kind of stochastic differential equation is strongly related to the sticky Brownian motion on the half-line $[0,\infty)$ (which is occasionally also called Brownian motion with delayed reflection or slowly reflecting Brownian motion). In [EP14] the authors study Brownian motion on $[0,\infty)$ with sticky boundary behavior and provide existence and uniqueness of solutions to the SDE system

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \frac{1}{2} d\ell_t^{0+}\big(X\big) + \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\big(X_t\big)\, dB_t \\ \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}\, dt = \frac{1}{\mu}\, d\ell_t^{0+}\big(X\big), \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

for reflecting Brownian motion X in $[0,\infty)$ sticky at 0, where $X := \big(X_t\big)_{t\geq 0}$ starts at $x \in [0,\infty)$, $\mu \in (0,\infty)$ is a given constant, $\ell^{0+}\big(X\big)$ is the right local time of X at 0 and $B := \big(B_t\big)_{t\geq 0}$ is the standard Brownian motion. In particular, H.-J. Engelbert and G. Peskir show that the system (1.3) has a jointly unique weak solution and moreover, they prove that the system (1.3) has no strong solution, thus verifying Skorokhod's conjecture of the non-existence of a strong solution in this case. For an outline of the historical evolution in the study of sticky Brownian motion we refer to the references given in [EP14] and also to [KPS10].
In view of the results provided in [EP14], the construction of a weak solution as given in [FGV14] is the only reasonable one. However, the construction via Dirichlet form techniques has the well-known disadvantage that the constructed process solves the underlying stochastic differential equation only for quasi-every starting point with respect to the Dirichlet form. Hence, in the present paper we construct a transition semigroup by Girsanov transformations and investigate its properties in order to strengthen the results of [FGV14]. In this way, we obtain a diffusion with strong Feller transition function which solves (1.1) for *every* starting point in the state space E and furthermore, we also show an ergodicity theorem for *every* starting point in the state space E under the assumptions on the density given in Condition 2.3. Moreover, we establish connections between the analytic Dirichlet form construction and classical probabilistic methods. Using these relations, we additionally prove uniqueness of weak solutions to (1.1).
In the theory of Dirichlet forms it is a common approach to use results of the regularity theory of elliptic partial differential equations in order to deduce that the associated resolvent and semigroup admit a certain regularity and thereby, it is possible to construct a pointwise solution to the underlying martingale problem or stochastic differential equation for an explicitly known set of starting points under very weak assumptions on the density ϱ. For example, this has recently been realized in case of distorted Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, in [AKR03], in case of absorbing distorted

Brownian motion on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, in [BGS13], in the case of reflecting Brownian motion on Lipschitz domains in [FT96] and in case of reflecting distorted Brownian motion on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, under some smoothness condition on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ in [FG07] and [BG14]. However, in the present setting which involves not only the Lebesgue measure but also multiple measures on the boundary of the state space E due to the product structure of the problem, the elliptic regularity theory is not yet investigated and from our present point of view the required results are out of reach. For this reason, we choose the probabilistic approach of random time changes and Girsanov transformations in order to obtain a strong Feller transition semigroup which seems to be a new approach in this area.
Our results apply to the so-called wetting model (also refered to as the Ginzburg-Landau $\nabla\phi$ interface model with entropic repulsion and pinning). More precisely, in a finite volume $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the scalar field $\boldsymbol{\phi}_t := \big(\boldsymbol{\phi}_t(x)\big)_{x\in\Lambda}$, $t \geq 0$, is described by the stochastic differential equations

$$d\boldsymbol{\phi}_t(x) = -\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\big(\boldsymbol{\phi}_t(x)\big) \sum_{\substack{y\in\Lambda \\ |x-y|=1}} V'\big(\boldsymbol{\phi}_t(x) - \boldsymbol{\phi}_t(y)\big)\, dt + \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\big(\boldsymbol{\phi}_t(x)\big)\sqrt{2}dB_t(x) + d\ell_t^0(x), \quad x \in \Lambda, \quad (1.4)$$

subject to the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{\phi}_t(x) \geq 0, \quad \ell_t^0(x) \text{ is non-decreasing with respect to } t, \quad \ell_0^0(x) = 0, \\ &\int_0^\infty \boldsymbol{\phi}_t(x)\, d\ell_t^0(x) = 0, \\ &\beta\ell_t^0(x) = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}\big(\boldsymbol{\phi}_s(x)\big)\, ds \quad \text{for fixed } \beta > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell_t^0(x)$ denotes the *local time* of $\boldsymbol{\phi}_t(x)$ at 0. Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the norm induced by the euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a symmetric, strictly convex potential and $\big\{(B_t(x))_{t\geq 0} \,|\, x \in \Lambda\big\}$ are independent standard Brownian motions. In dimension $d = 2$ this model describes the wetting of a solid surface by a fluid. More details on interface models are e.g. presented in [Gia02], [Fun05]. In [Fun05, Sect. 15.1] J.D. Deuschel and T. Funaki investigated (1.4) and gave reference to classical solution techniques as developed e.g. in [IW89]. The methods provided therein require more restrictive assumptions on the drift part as in our situation (e.g. the drift is assumed to be bounded and Lipschitz continuous), moreover, do not apply directly (the geometry and the behavior on the boundary differs). First steps in the direction of applying [IW89] are discussed in [Fun05] by J.-D. Deuschel and T. Funaki.
As far as we know the only reference that applies to the system of stochastic differential equations (1.4) is [Gra88]. By means of a suitable choice of the coefficients the system of equations given by [Gra88, (II.1)] coincides with (1.4), but amongst others the drift part is also assumed to be Lipschitz continuous and boundend. For this reason, it is not possible to apply the results of [Gra88] to the setting invenstigated by J.-D. Deuschel and T. Funaki, since the potential V naturally causes an unbounded drift (see also Example 4.4).

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state the required conditions on the density as well as our main results. In Section 3 we recall some facts about sticky Brownian motion and present the connections of the Dirichlet form constructed in [FGV14] to classical methods from

probability theory. In particular, we establish relations to random time changes and Girsanov transformations. In Section 4 a Feller transition semigroup is constructed under the conditions given in Section 2. This semigroup is used to construct a pointwise solution to (1.1) and the corresponding Dirichlet form is identified. Moreover, in Section 5 the setting is applied to the dynamical wetting model. Finally, we prove uniqueness of weak solutions to (1.1) in Section 6.

2 Main results

In the following we denote by dx_i the one dimensional Lebesgue measure and by δ_0^i the Dirac measure in 0, where $i = 1, \dots, n$ gives reference to the component of $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E = [0, \infty)^n$. Define the product measure $d\mu_n := \prod_{i=1}^n (dx_i + \beta\delta_0^i)$ on $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$. We denote by d_{euc} the Euclidean metric.

First, we like to note that the proofs of the results in [FGV14] are still valid under the following weaker assumptions:

Theorem 2.1. *All results of [FGV14] hold true under the assumption that ϱ fulfills*

(i) *ϱ is μ_n-a.e. positive on E such that $\varrho \in L^1(E; \mu_n)$,*

(ii) *$\varrho \in C(E)$,*

(iii) *$\sqrt{\varrho_{|E_+(B)}} \in H^{1,2}_{loc}(E_+(B))$ for every $\emptyset \neq B \subset I$,*

(iv) *$cap(\{\varrho = 0\}) = 0$ (with respect to the form $(\mathcal{E}^\varrho, D(\mathcal{E}^\varrho))$ defined below).*

We state the following proposition in order to be able to give afterwards suitable conditions on the density ϱ:

Proposition 2.2. *There exists a diffusion process $\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, (X_t)_{t\geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x\in E})$ (called n independent sticky Brownian motions on $[0, \infty)$) solving the SDE*

$$dX_t^i = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_t^i)\sqrt{2}dB_t^i + \frac{1}{\beta}\,\mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(X_t^i)dt, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is an n-dimensional standard Brownian motion, and the transition semigroup $(p_t^{\beta,n})_{t>0}$ of $\mathbb{M}$ has the doubly Feller property, i.e. it is a Feller transition semigroup which admits additionally the strong Feller property (see Definition 3.4). Moreover, the Dirichlet form associated to n independent sticky Brownian motions on $[0, \infty)$ is given by the conservative, strongly local, strongly regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^n, D(\mathcal{E}^n))$, i.e., the closure on $L^2(E; \mu_n)$ of the bilinear form

$$\mathcal{E}^n(f, g) = \int_E \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{x_i\neq 0\}}\ \partial_i f\ \partial_i g\ d\mu_n \quad \text{for } f, g \in C_c^1(E).$$

Condition 2.3. *$\varrho = \phi^2$ is strictly positive, fulfills the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2.1 and*

$$\nabla \ln \phi = \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi} \in L^\infty_{loc}(E; \mu_n). \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, for every $t > 0$ and every compact set $D \subset E$ holds

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \sup_{x\in D} \mathbb{E}_x(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_k \le t\}}\ Z_t) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $(Z_t)_{t\ge 0}$ is given by

$$Z_t = \exp\big(\sqrt{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \partial_i \ln\phi(X_s)\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^i)dB_s^i - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t (\partial_i \ln\phi(X_s))^2 \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^i)ds\big)$$

and $\tau_k := \inf\{t > 0|\ X_t \notin [0,k)^n\}$ with $(X_t)_{t\ge 0}$ and $(B_t)_{t\ge 0}$ as stated in Proposition 2.2.

Remark 2.4. (i) All proofs of the following results are still valid if ϱ is not necessarily strictly positive, but Condition 2.3 additionally requires (iv) of Theorem 2.1,

$$\nabla \ln\phi = \frac{\nabla\phi}{\phi} \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(E\backslash\{\varrho = 0\}; \mu_n), \tag{2.3}$$

D is an arbitrary compact subset of $E\backslash\{\varrho = 0\}$ and τ_k is defined by

$$\tau_k := \inf\{t > 0|\ X_t \notin [0,k)^n \backslash B_{\frac{1}{k}}(\{\varrho = 0\})\},$$

where $B_{\frac{1}{k}}(\{\varrho = 0\}) := \{x \in E|\ \inf_{y\in\{\varrho=0\}}\ d_{\mathrm{euc}}(x,y) \le \frac{1}{k}\}$. In this case, a strong Feller process on the state space $E_1 := E\backslash\{\varrho = 0\}$ can be constructed and the corresponding Dirichlet form is defined analogously but on the space $L^2(E_1; \varrho\mu)$. The additional condition guarantees that the constructed process (using a Girsanov transformation by $(Z_t)_{t\ge 0}$) never hits the set $\{\varrho = 0\}$.

(ii) (2.1) is equivalent to $\nabla\phi \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(E;\mu_n)$, since ϕ is assumed to be strictly positive and continuous.

(iii) (2.2) holds for example if $\sup_{x\in D}\mathbb{E}_x(Z_t^p) < \infty$ for some $p > 1$ (see Remark 4.7).

Under the above assumptions on ϱ it holds:

Theorem 2.5. *There exits a conservative diffusion process $\mathbb{M}^\varrho = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\ge 0}, (X_t)_{t\ge 0}, (\mathbb{P}^\varrho_x)_{x\in E})$ on E with strong Feller transition function $(p_t)_{t\ge 0}$, i.e., $p_t(\mathcal{B}_b(E)) \subset C_b(E)$, such that the associated Dirichlet form is given by the closure of the symmetric bilinear form $(\mathcal{E}^\varrho, \mathcal{D})$ on $L^2(E;\varrho\mu_n)$, where*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^\varrho(f,g) &:= \sum_{\emptyset\neq B\subset\{1,\dots,n\}} \mathcal{E}_B(f,g)\\ &= \int_E \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{x_i\neq 0\}}\ \partial_i f\ \partial_i g\ \varrho d\mu_n \qquad \text{for } f,g \in \mathcal{D} := C_c^1(E)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_B(f,g) := \int_E \sum_{i\in B} \partial_i f\ \partial_i g\ \varrho d\lambda_B^{n,\beta},$$

where $d\lambda_B^{n,\beta} := \beta^{n-\#B}\prod_{j\in B} dx_j \prod_{j\in B^c}\delta_0^j$. In particular, $(p_t)_{t\ge 0}$ fulfills the absolute continuity condition [FOT11, (4.2.9)], i.e., the transition probabilities $p_t(x,\cdot)$, $x \in E$, $t > 0$, given by $p_t(x,A) := \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in A)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$, are absolutely continuous with respect to $\varrho\mu_n$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$ be the diffusion process of Theorem 2.5. It holds for each $i = 1, \dots, n$*

$$X_t^i = X_0^i + \sqrt{2} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^i) dB_s^i + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^i)\ \partial_i \ln \varrho(X_s) ds + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(X_s^i) ds \tag{2.4}$$

$\mathbb{P}_x^\varrho$-a.s. for every $x \in E$, where $(B_t^i)_{t\geq 0}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are independent standard Brownian motions. Moreover, it holds

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t F(X_s) ds = \frac{\int_E F \varrho d\mu_n}{\int_E \varrho d\mu_n} \tag{2.5}$$

$\mathbb{P}_x^\varrho$-a.s. for every $x \in E$ and $F \in L^1(E; \varrho\mu_n)$.

Remark 2.7. Let $\Gamma \subset \partial E$ such that $\int_\Gamma \varrho d\mu_n > 0$. Then it follows by (2.5) that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_\Gamma(X_s) ds = \frac{\int_\Gamma \varrho d\mu_n}{\int_E \varrho d\mu_n} > 0$$

$\mathbb{P}_x^\varrho$-a.s. for every $x \in E$. This confirms the sticky behavior of the process on the boundary.

Theorem 2.8. *The solution to (2.4) is unique in law.*

Remark 2.9. Let $\varrho : E \to (0, \infty)$, $\varrho = \exp(-2H)$, be defined by a potential V with nearest neighbor pair interaction, i.e., H is given by

$$H(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{0,\dots,n+1\} \\ |i-j|=1}} V(x_i - x_j), \tag{2.6}$$

where $x_0 := x_{n+1} := 0$ and $V : \mathbb{R} \to [-b, \infty)$, $b \in [0, \infty)$, fulfills the conditions of [Fun05, (2.2)]:

(i) $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$,

(ii) V is symmetric, i.e., $V(r) = V(-r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

(iii) V is strictly convex, i.e., $c_- \leq V''(r) \leq c_+$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and some constants $c_-, c_+ > 0$.

Denote by $\phi := \sqrt{\varrho} = \exp(-H)$ the square root of ϱ.

Define $\mathbb{V}'(i, x)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $x \in E$ by

$$\mathbb{V}'(i, x) := \sum_{\substack{j\in\{0,\dots,n+1\} \\ |i-j|=1}} V'(x_i - x_j).$$

In this case, Condition 2.3 is fulfilled and the stated results hold accordingly with the drift function given by $\partial_i \ln \varrho = -\mathbb{V}'(i, \cdot)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

3 Sticky Brownian motion and Dirichlet form transformations

3.1 Sticky Brownian motion on the halfline

Define the Dirichlet form $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, D(\hat{\mathcal{E}}))$ as the closure of

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(f,g) := \int_{[0,\infty)} f'(x)g'(x)dx, \quad f,g \in C_c^1([0,\infty)),$$

on $L^2([0,\infty);dx)$. It is well-known that reflecting Brownian motion is associated to $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, D(\hat{\mathcal{E}}))$ and $D(\hat{\mathcal{E}}) = H^{1,2}((0,\infty))$ is the Sobolev space of order one.
Let $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Then $\hat{X}_t := |x + \sqrt{2}\tilde{B}_t|$, $t \geq 0$, yields reflecting Brownian motion on $[0,\infty)$ starting at $x \in [0,\infty)$ and by Tanaka's formula

$$\hat{X}_t = x + \sqrt{2}\hat{B}_t + L_t^{0+}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\hat{B}_t := \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(x + \sqrt{2}\tilde{B}_s)d\tilde{B}_s$, $t \geq 0$, is a standard Brownian motion and $(L_t^{0+})_{t\geq 0}$ is the right local time in 0, i.e.,

$$L_t^{0+} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\epsilon)}(\hat{X}_s)ds$$

in probability. Here, we differ from classical notation by the factor $\sqrt{2}$ (see also Remark 3.1). The Dirichlet form associated to $(\hat{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, D(\hat{\mathcal{E}}))$ and $(L_t^{0+})_{t\geq 0}$ is an additive functional which is in Revuz correspondance with the Dirac measure δ_0 in 0. Consider the additive functional $A_t := t + \beta L_t^{0+}$, $t \geq 0$, for some real constant $\beta > 0$. Note that $A_0 = 0$ and $A_t \to \infty$ a.s. as $t \to \infty$. Then sticky Brownian motion on $[0,\infty)$ is usually constructed by a random time change using the inverse $\tau(t)$ of A_t. More precisely, $X_t := \hat{X}_{\tau(t)}$ (starting in x) solves the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_t)\sqrt{2}dB_t + \frac{1}{\beta}\ \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(X_t)dt, \tag{3.2}$$

where $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion. For details on Feller's Brownian motions and in particular, sticky Brownian motion and its transition semigroup, see e.g. [EP14], [KPS10], [GS72] or [Kni81].
In [FOT11, Chapter 6] and [CF12, Chapter 5] is presented how a random time change by an additive functional affects the underlying Dirichlet form. Let μ denote the Revuz measure corresponding to $(A_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Clearly, $d\mu = dx + \beta\delta_0$. In particular, μ has full support $[0,\infty)$. Thus, the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ on $L^2([0,\infty);\mu)$ associated to $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ has the representation

$$\mathcal{E}(f,g) = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f,g) \quad f,g \in D(\mathcal{E}) = D(\hat{\mathcal{E}}) \cap L^2([0,\infty);\mu).$$

In particular, $D(\mathcal{E}) = H^{1,2}((0,\infty)) \cap L^2([0,\infty);\mu) = H^{1,2}((0,\infty))$ by Sobolev embedding. Moreover, $C_c^1([0,\infty))$ is dense in $D(\mathcal{E})$ by [CF12, Theorem 5.2.8(i)] and thus, it is a special standard core of $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$. Hence, the closure of

$$\mathcal{E}(f,g) = \int_{[0,\infty)} f'(x)g'(x)dx = \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x)\ f'(x)g'(x)d\mu, \quad f,g \in C_c^1([0,\infty)), \tag{3.3}$$

on $L^2([0,\infty);\mu)$ is the Dirichlet form associated to $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$.

Remark 3.1. Note that our notion for the solution to the equations (3.1) and (3.2) as reflecting Brownian motion and sticky reflecting Brownian motion on $[0,\infty)$ respectively differs by the factor $\sqrt{2}$ from classical literature in view of the underlying SDE (1.1). If $(Y_t^\gamma)_{t\geq 0}$ solves

$$dY_t^\gamma = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(Y_t^\gamma)dB_t + \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(Y_t^\gamma)dt \quad \text{for } \gamma > 0,$$

we obtain the solution to (3.2) by setting $X_t := \sqrt{2}\, Y_t^{\sqrt{2}\beta}$. This identity is useful in order to derive the resolvent density and transition density for the solution to (3.2).

Let F be a locally compact separable metric space and denote by $C_0(F) := \{f \in C(F) |\ \forall \epsilon > 0\ \exists K \subset F \text{ compact } : |f(x)| < \epsilon\ \forall x \in F\backslash K\}$ the space of continuous functions on F vanishing at infinity. We can specify the resolvent and transition semigroup of sticky Brownian motion on $[0,\infty)$. [KPS10, Corollary 3.10, Corollary 3.11] state the following (see also [Kni81, Section 6.1]):

Theorem 3.2. *The transition function of sticky Brownian motion on $[0,\infty)$ yields a Feller semigroup on $C_0([0,\infty))$, i.e., $p_t(C_0([0,\infty))) \subset C_0([0,\infty))$ and $\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\|p_t f - f\|_\infty = 0$ for each $f \in C_0([0,\infty))$. For $\lambda > 0$, $x, y \in [0,\infty)$, the resolvent kernel $r_\lambda^\beta(x,dy)$ of the Brownian motion with sticky origin (i.e., the solution to (3.2)) is given by*

$$r_\lambda^\beta(x,dy) = \frac{r_\lambda^D(x,\frac{y}{\sqrt{2}})}{\sqrt{2}}dy + \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{\lambda}+\beta\lambda)}\big(2e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}(x+\frac{y}{\sqrt{2}})}dy + \sqrt{2}\beta\ e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}x}\delta_0(dy)\big), \tag{3.4}$$

where $r_\lambda^D(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}(e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}|x-y|} - e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}(x+y)})$ is the resolvent density of Brownian motion with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Furthermore, by the inverse Laplace transform it follows that, for $t > 0$, the transition kernel $p^\beta(t,x,dy)$ of the Brownian motion with sticky origin is given by

$$p^\beta(t,x,dy) = \frac{p^D(t,x,\frac{y}{\sqrt{2}})}{\sqrt{2}}dy + 2g_{0,\sqrt{2}\beta}(t,x+\frac{y}{\sqrt{2}})dy + \sqrt{2}\beta\ g_{0,\sqrt{2}\beta}(t,x)\ \delta_0(dy), \tag{3.5}$$

where $p^D(t,x,y) = p(t,x,y) - p(t,x,-y)$ is the transition density for Brownian motion with Dirichlet boundary conditions, $p(t,x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}}$ and

$$g_{0,\gamma}(t,x) = \frac{1}{\gamma}\exp(\frac{2x}{\gamma} + \frac{2t}{\gamma^2})\ \operatorname{erfc}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2t}} + \frac{\sqrt{2t}}{\gamma}), \quad \text{for } \gamma > 0,\ t > 0,\ x \geq 0,$$

with the complementary errorfunction $\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_x^\infty e^{-z^2}dz$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.3. Note that (3.5) implies that $p^\beta(t,x,\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure $d\mu = dx + \beta\delta_0$ for each $x \in [0,\infty)$, $t > 0$. Therefore, the so-called *absolute continuity condition* [FOT11, (4.2.9)] is fulfilled. In the following we see that the transition semigroup possesses even stronger properties.

Thus, with $p^\beta(t,x,dy)$ as above and p_t^β, $t > 0$, the transition semigroup of sticky Brownian motion starting in $x \in [0,\infty)$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}_x(f(X_t)) = p_t^\beta f(x) = \int_{[0,\infty)} f(y)\ p^\beta(t,x,dy)$$

for each $f \in C_0([0,\infty))$. Furthermore, the resolvent r_λ^β is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_x\Big(\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} f(X_s)ds\Big) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} p_s^\beta f(x)ds = r_\lambda^\beta f(x) = \int_{[0,\infty)} f(y)\, r_\lambda^\beta(x,dy).$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on the so-called first passage time formular (see [Kni81, (6.4)]). Let $\lambda > 0$ and define $A^\beta := \lambda - (r_\lambda^\beta)^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{D} := r_\lambda^\beta(C_0([0,\infty)))$ (which is independent of λ). By [Kni81, Theorem 6.2, Theorem 6.4] is holds that

$$A^\beta f = f'', \quad f \in \mathcal{D} = \{f \in C_0([0,\infty)) \cap C^2([0,\infty)|\ f'' \in C_0([0,\infty)) \text{ and } \beta f''(0) = f'(0)\}. \quad (3.6)$$

The condition $\beta f''(0) = f'(0)$ for $f \in C^2([0,\infty))$ is called Wentzell boundary condition.

Definition 3.4. Let F be a locally compact separable metric space. A transition semigroup p_t, $t > 0$, of an F-valued Markov process is said to have the *Feller property* if $p_t(C_0(F)) \subset C_0(F)$ and $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} \|p_t f - f\|_\infty = 0$ for each $f \in C_0(F)$. Furthermore, it is called *strong Feller* if $p_t(\mathcal{B}_b(F)) \subset C_b(F)$ for each $t > 0$. If the transition semigroup has both Feller and strong Feller property, we say that it possesses the *doubly Feller property*.

We can also deduce the following:

Proposition 3.5. *The transition semigroup $(p_t^\beta)_{t>0}$ of sticky Brownian motion on $[0,\infty)$ has the doubly Feller property.*

Proof. In consideration of Theorem 3.2 it rests to show that $p_t(\mathcal{B}_b([0,\infty))) \subset C_b([0,\infty))$. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b([0,\infty))$ and $t > 0$. It is well-known that

$$\frac{e^{-x^2}}{x + \sqrt{x^2+2}} < \operatorname{erfc}(x) \le \frac{e^{-x^2}}{x + \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{4}{\pi}}}$$

for each $x \ge 0$ (see [AS64, 7.1.13]). Let $x \in [0,\infty)$ and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in $[0,\infty)$ such that $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $G_n(y) := f(y) g_{0,\sqrt{2}\beta}(t, x_n + \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}})$ converges for each fixed $y \in [0,\infty)$ to $G(y) := f(y) g_{0,\sqrt{2}\beta}(t, x + \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}})$ as $n \to \infty$ by continuity of $g_{0,\sqrt{2}\beta}$ in the second variable. Moreover, for each $y \in [0,\infty)$ holds

$$\begin{aligned}
|G_n(y)| &\le \|f\|_\infty K_1 \exp\Big(\frac{\sqrt{2}x_n + y)}{\beta}\Big)\operatorname{erfc}\Big(\frac{x_n}{\sqrt{2t}} + \frac{y}{2\sqrt{t}}\Big) \\
&\le \|f\|_\infty K_2 \exp\Big(\frac{y}{\beta}\Big)\operatorname{erfc}\Big(\frac{y}{2\sqrt{t}}\Big) \\
&\le \|f\|_\infty K_3 \exp\Big(\frac{y}{\beta}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{y^2}{4t}\Big) =: H(y)
\end{aligned}$$

for suitable constants K_1, K_2 and K_3. Note that the function H is integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0,\infty)$. Thus, dominated convergence yields

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} G_n(y)dy \to \int_{[0,\infty)} G(y)dy$$

and by this, we can conclude that $p_t^\beta f$ is continuous and bounded. □

Remark 3.6. Denote by $(T_t^\beta)_{t\geq 0}$ the $L^2([0,\infty);\mu)$-semigroup of $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ defined in (3.3). Then, by the previous considerations, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_b([0,\infty)) \cap L^2([0,\infty);\mu)$ it holds that $p_t^\beta f$ is a μ-version of $T_t^\beta f$. Note also that the $L^2([0,\infty);\mu)$-generator $(L, D(L))$ is given by

$$Lf(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x) f''(x) + \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(x)\frac{1}{\beta} f'(x) \quad \text{for } f \in D(L) = H^{2,2}((0,\infty)),$$

where $H^{2,2}((0,\infty))$ denotes the Sobolev space of order two. This can be shown using integration by parts, the fact that $D(\mathcal{E}) = H^{1,2}((0,\infty))$ and the definition of the space $H^{2,2}((0,\infty))$. For $f \in C_c^2([0,\infty)) \subset D(L)$ such that the Wentzell boundary condition $\beta f''(0) = f'(0)$ is fulfilled, it holds $Lf = f''$ similar to the generator of the $C_0([0,\infty))$-semigroup given in (3.6). However, in the L^2-setting the boundary behavior is rather described by the measure μ instead of the domain of the generator.

Next we will constuct the Dirichlet form corresponding to n independent sticky Brownian motions on $[0,\infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [BH91, Chapter V, Section 2.1] it is shown how to construct finite tensor products of Dirichlet spaces. Moreover, the corresponding semigroup of the product Dirichlet form has an explicit representation. In our setting this construction yields the semigroup of an n-dimensional process on $E = [0,\infty)^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the components are independent sticky Brownian motions on $[0,\infty)$. In particular, this approach justifies the choice of the Dirichlet form structure used in [FGV14].

Let $(\mathcal{E}_i, D(\mathcal{E}_i))$, $i = 1,\dots,n$, be n copies of the Dirichlet form in (3.3). Note that each such form is defined on the space $L^2([0,\infty);\mu)$. In accordance with [BH91, Definition 2.1.1] we define the product Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^n, D(\mathcal{E}^n))$ on $L^2([0,\infty)^n;\mu_n)$ with $d\mu_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (dx_i + \beta\delta_0^i)$ by

$$\mathcal{E}^n(f,g) := \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{[0,\infty)^{n-1}} \mathcal{E}_i(f(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},\cdot,x_{i+1},\dots,x_n), g(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},\cdot,x_{i+1},\dots,x_n)) \prod_{j\neq i}(dx_j + \beta\delta_0^j) \tag{3.7}$$

for $f, g \in D(\mathcal{E}^n)$, where

$$D(\mathcal{E}^n) := \{f \in L^2([0,\infty)^n;\mu_n) \big| \text{ for each } i = 1,\dots,n \text{ and for } \prod_{j\neq i}(dx_j + \beta\delta_0^j) - a.e.$$
$$(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},x_{i+1},\dots,x_n) \in [0,\infty)^{n-1} : f(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},\cdot,x_{i+1},\dots,x_n) \in D(\mathcal{E}_i)\}$$

First, we proof the following:

Lemma 3.7. $C_c^1([0,\infty)^n)$ is dense in $D(\mathcal{E}^n)$.

Proof. Note that $C_c^1([0,\infty)^n) \subset D(\mathcal{E}^n)$ by definition of $D(\mathcal{E}^n)$.
W.l.o.g. let $n = 2$. By [BH91, Proposition 2.1.3 b)] $D(\mathcal{E}_1) \otimes D(\mathcal{E}_2)$ is dense in $D(\mathcal{E}^2)$. We show that $C_c^1([0,\infty)) \otimes C_c^1([0,\infty)) \subset C_c^1([0,\infty)^2)$ is dense in $D(\mathcal{E}_1) \otimes D(\mathcal{E}_2)$. Then the assertion follows by a diagonal sequence argument. So let $h \in D(\mathcal{E}_1) \otimes D(\mathcal{E}_2)$ such that $h(x_1,x_2) = f(x_1)g(x_2)$ for μ_2-a.e. $(x_1,x_2) \in [0,\infty)^2$, $f \in D(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $g \in D(\mathcal{E}_2)$. Choose sequences $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $C_c^1([0,\infty))$ such that $f_k \to f$ in $D(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $g_k \to g$ in $D(\mathcal{E}_2)$ as $k \to \infty$ and define, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $h_k \in C_c^1([0,\infty)) \otimes C_c^1([0,\infty))$ by $h_k(x_1,x_2) := f_k(x_1)g_k(x_2)$, $x_1, x_2 \in [0,\infty)$. Then it follows immediately by assumption and the product structure that $h_k \to h$ as $k \to \infty$ in $L^2([0,\infty)^2; d\mu)$. Moreover, for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{E}^2(h_k - h_l) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathcal{E}_1((h_k - h_l)(\cdot,x_2))(dx_2 + \beta\delta_0^2) + \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathcal{E}_2((h_k - h_l)(x_1,\cdot))(dx_1 + \beta\delta_0^1)$$

$$\leq \mathcal{E}_1(f_k - f_l)\ \|g_k\|_{L^2([0,\infty);dx+\beta\delta_0)} + \mathcal{E}_1(f_l)\ \|g_k - g_l\|_{L^2([0,\infty);dx+\beta\delta_0)}$$
$$+ \mathcal{E}_2(g_k - g_l)\ \|f_k\|_{L^2([0,\infty);dx+\beta\delta_0)} + \mathcal{E}_1(g_l)\ \|f_k - f_l\|_{L^2([0,\infty);dx+\beta\delta_0)}.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{E}^2(h_k - h_l) \to 0$ as $k, l \to \infty$ and thus, $h_k \to h$ as $k \to \infty$ in $D(\mathcal{E}^2)$. □

Let $f, g \in C_c^1([0,\infty)^n)$. Then for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and fixed $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in [0,\infty)^{n-1}$ we have

$$\mathcal{E}_i(f(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, \cdot, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n), g(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, \cdot, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n))$$
$$= \int_{[0,\infty)} \partial_i f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\ \partial_i g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\ dx_i.$$

Set $\{j \neq i\} := \{1, \ldots, i, i+1, \ldots, n\}$. If A is a subset of some set I, we denote by A^c the set $I \backslash A$. Due to the identity

$$\prod_{j\neq i}(dx_j + \beta\delta_0^j) = \sum_{A\subset\{j\neq i\}} \beta^{\#A^c} \prod_{j\in A} dx_j \prod_{j\in A^c} \delta_0^j$$

we get by rearranging the terms that

$$\mathcal{E}^n(f,g) = \sum_{\emptyset\neq B\subset\{1,\ldots,n\}} \mathcal{E}_B(f,g)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_B(f,g) := \int_{[0,\infty)^n} \sum_{i\in B} \partial_i f\ \partial_i g\ d\lambda_B^{n,s},$$

where $d\lambda_B^{n,\beta} := \beta^{n-\#B} \prod_{j\in B} dx_j \prod_{j\in B^c} \delta_0^j$. In other words, $(\mathcal{E}^n, D(\mathcal{E}^n))$ defined in (3.7) coincides with the form defined in [FGV14, (2.3)] disregarding that in our present setting the density function ϱ is identically one. Moreover, (3.7) can also be rewritten in the form

$$\mathcal{E}^n(f,g) = \int_E \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{x_i\neq 0\}}\ \partial_i f\ \partial_i g\ d\mu_n \quad \text{for } f, g \in C_c^1(E).$$

From the present point of view $(\mathcal{E}^n, D(\mathcal{E}^n))$, defined as in (3.7), is the sum of n subforms and each such form for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ describes the dynamics of the process on $[0,\infty)^n$ for all configurations where the i-th component is not pinned to zero. In contrast, the forms $\mathcal{E}_B$, $\emptyset \neq B \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ describe the dynamics of the process for all configurations where exactly the components specified by B are non-zero.

By a minor generalization of the results in [FGV14] we get the following lemma:

Lemma 3.8. The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^n, D(\mathcal{E}^n))$ on $L^2([0,\infty)^n; \mu_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is conservative, strongly local, strongly regular and symmetric.

Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n), y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in [0,\infty)^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the transition kernel $p_t^{\beta,n}(x, dy)$ of n independent sticky Brownian motions on $[0,\infty)$ is given by

$$p_t^{\beta,n}(x, dy) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_t^\beta(x_i, dy_i).$$

Thus, for $f \in C_0([0,\infty)^n)$ we have

$$p_t^{\beta,n} f(x) = \int_{[0,\infty)^n} f(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \prod_{i=1}^n p_t^\beta(x_i, dy_i).$$

By Theorem 3.2 we have an explicit representation of $p_t^{\beta,n}(x,dy)$ and by the same arguments as in Proposition 3.5 the doubly Feller porperty holds also for $p_t^{\beta,n}$:

Proposition 3.9. *The transition semigroup $(p_t^{\beta,n})_{t>0}$ of n independent sticky Brownian motions on $[0,\infty)$ has the doubly Feller property.*

Let $(T_t^i)_{t\geq 0}$ be the $L^2([0,\infty);\mu)$-semigroup of the forms $(\mathcal{E}_i, D(\mathcal{E}_i))$, $i=1,\dots,n$. Set for $f \in L^2([0,\infty)^n;\mu_n)$, $i=1,\dots,n$, and μ_n-a.e. $(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in[0,\infty)^n$

$$\hat{T}_t^{\beta,i} f(x_1,\dots,x_n) := T_t^i f(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},\cdot,x_{i+1},\dots,x_n)(x_i).$$

and

$$T_t^{\beta,n} f = \hat{T}_t^{\beta,1}\cdots\hat{T}_t^{\beta,n} f.$$

By [BH91, Proposition 2.1.3 a)] $(T_t^{\beta,n})_{t\geq 0}$ is the $L^2([0,\infty)^n;\mu_n)$-semigroup associated to the form $(\mathcal{E}^n, D(\mathcal{E}^n))$ defined in (3.7) and the order of the $\hat{T}_t^{\beta,i}$, $i=1,\dots,n$, is arbitrary.
Let $f\in\mathcal{B}_b([0,\infty)^n)\cap L^2([0,\infty)^n;\mu_n)$. Then we have for μ_n-a.e. $x=(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in[0,\infty)^n$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T}_t^{\beta,n} f(x_1,\dots,x_n) = T_t^n f(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},\cdot)(x_n) &= p_t^\beta f(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},\cdot)(x_n) \\ &= \int_{[0,\infty)} f(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},y_n) p_t^\beta(x_n,dy_n)\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and similarly

$$\hat{T}_t^{\beta,n-1}\hat{T}_t^{\beta,n} f(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \int_{[0,\infty)}\int_{[0,\infty)} f(x_1,\dots,x_{n-2},y_{n-1},y_n) p_t^\beta(x_n,dy_n) p_t^\beta(x_{n-1},dy_{n-1}). \tag{3.9}$$

Proceeding successively as in (3.8) and (3.9), together with the preceding considerations, proves Proposition 2.2.

3.2 Girsanov transformations

We summerize some results on Girsanov transformations of a Markov process and the associated Dirichlet form. The statements can be found in [Ebe96] and [FOT11, Chapter 6]. In some cases we do not state the results in full generality, since for our purposes it is sufficient to simplify the assumptions.

Let $\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, (X_t)_{t\geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x\in F})$ be a μ-symmetric strong Markov process with state space $F\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, continuous sample paths and infinite lifetime, where μ is a positive Radon measure on $(F,\mathcal{B}(F))$ with full support. We suppose that the process is canonical, i.e., $\Omega = C([0,\infty),F)$ and $X_t(\omega)=\omega(t)$ for $\omega\in\Omega$ and $t\geq 0$. Moreover, assume that its Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ on $L^2(F;\mu)$ is strongly regular, strongly local, conservative and that it possesses a square field operator Γ. We denote its generator by $(L, D(L))$. Suppose that $\mathcal{D} := C_c^1(F)$ a dense subspace of $D(\mathcal{E})$, $D(L)\cap\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $D(\mathcal{E})$ and for every $f\in\mathcal{D}$ holds $f,\Gamma(f)\in L^\infty(F;\mu)$. Denote by $(p_t)_{t>0}$ the transition semigroup of $\mathbb{M}$, i.e., for $f\in\mathcal{B}_b(F)$ holds

$$p_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x(f(X_t)),$$

and we suppose that the transition density $p_t(x,\cdot)$, $x\in F$, $t>0$, possesses the absolute continuity condition [FOT11, (4.2.9)].

A function f is said to be in $D(\mathcal{E})_{\text{loc}}$ if for any relatively compact open set G there exists a function $g \in D(\mathcal{E})$ such that $f = g$ μ-a.e. on G. Fix some $\phi \in D(\mathcal{E})_{\text{loc}} \cap C(F)$ such that $\phi > 0$ μ-a.e.. Define $\varrho := \phi^2$ and the symmetric bilinear form $(\mathcal{E}^\varrho, \mathcal{D}^\varrho)$ on $L^2(F; \varrho\mu)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}^\varrho := \{f \in D(\mathcal{E}) | \int_F (\Gamma(f) + f^2)\varrho d\mu < \infty\}, \tag{3.10}$$
$$\mathcal{E}^\varrho(f,g) := \int_F \Gamma(f,g)\ \varrho d\mu.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{D}^\varrho = D(\mathcal{E})$ if ϱ is bounded.

Under the above assumptions the conditions (D1)-(D3) of [Ebe96] are fulfilled using the strong regularity of $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ and moreover, ϱ is locally bounded. Thus, by [Ebe96, Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.3] we can conclude the following:

Lemma 3.10. The symmetric bilinear form $(\mathcal{E}^\varrho, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is densely defined and closable on $L^2(F; \varrho\mu)$ and its closure $(\mathcal{E}^\varrho, D(\mathcal{E}^\varrho))$ is a strongly local Dirichlet form. Moreover, $(\mathcal{E}^\varrho, D(\mathcal{E}^\varrho)) = (\mathcal{E}^\varrho, \overline{\mathcal{D}}))$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}$ is a dense subset of $D(\mathcal{E}^\varrho)$.

Due to [FOT11, Theorem 5.5.1] it is possible to give a Fukushima decomposition of the process $\mathbb{M}$ of the form

$$\ln\phi(X_t) - \ln\phi(X_0) = M_t^{[\ln\phi]} + N_t^{[\ln\phi]} \quad \mathbb{P}_x - \text{a.s. for each } x \in F, \tag{3.11}$$

where $M_t^{[\ln\phi]}$ is a martingale additive functional and $N_t^{[\ln\phi]}$ is a continuous additive functional. The function $\ln\phi$ is possibly unbounded. In this case, the decomposition (3.11) requires some localization argument (see e.g. [FOT11, (6.3.19)]). Define the positive multiplicative functional $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ by

$$Z_t = \exp(M_t^{[\ln\phi]} - \frac{1}{2}\langle M^{[\ln\phi]}\rangle_t). \tag{3.12}$$

Furthermore, let $(\tilde{p}_t)_{t>0}$ be defined by

$$\tilde{p}_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t f(X_t))$$

for $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(F)$.
By [FOT11, Section 6.3] $(\tilde{p}_t)_{t>0}$ is a transition function and there exists a corresponding $\varrho\mu$-symmetric right process $\mathbb{M}^\varrho = (\Omega, (X_t)_{t\geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x^\varrho)_{x\in F})$. Moreover, the Dirichlet form of $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$ is given by $(\mathcal{E}^\varrho, D(\mathcal{E}^\varrho))$. We say that the process $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$ and the transition semigrpup $(\tilde{p}_t)_{t>0}$ are the Girsanov transformation of $\mathbb{M}$ and $(\tilde{p}_t)_{t>0}$ respectively by the multiplicative functional $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$.

4 Construction of the strong Feller transition semigroup

In [Chu85] criteria are given under which the doubly Feller property is preserved under the transformation by a multiplicative functional $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$. This concept is extended in [CK08]. It is shown that the conditions on $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ can be weakened. Moreover, the setting is applied to Feynman-Kac and Girsanov transformations. In particular, precise conditions on the Revuz measure of the underlying additive functionals are given. We quote a result of [CK08] concerning the preservation of the doubly feller property under Girsanov transformations. Since we deal with strong Markov

processes with *continuous sample paths*, we restrict the results to this setting instead of stating them in full generality.

Let $\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, (X_t)_{t\geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x\in F})$ be again a μ-symmetric strong Markov process with state space $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, continuous sample paths and infinite lifetime, where μ is a positive Radon measure on $(F, \mathcal{B}(F))$ with full support. As before, denote by $(p_t)_{t>0}$ the transition semigroup of $\mathbb{M}$. Assume that $(p_t)_{t>0}$ possesses the doubly Feller property.
Let $r_\lambda(x, y)$, $\lambda > 0$, $x, y \in F$, be the resolvent kernel of $\mathbb{M}$, i.e., the resolvent $(r_\lambda)_{\lambda>0}$ of $\mathbb{M}$ is given by

$$r_\lambda f(x) = \int_F f(y) r_\lambda(x, y) d\mu(y)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(F)$, $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in F$. For a Borel measure ν on $\mathcal{B}(F)$ we define the λ-*potential of* ν by $R_\lambda \nu(x) := \int_F r_\lambda(x, y) d\nu(y)$, $\lambda > 0$.
Let B be a non-empty open subset of F and denote by $B_{\Delta_B} := B \cup \{\Delta_B\}$ the one-point compactification of B. Define $(X_t^B)_{t\geq 0}$ by

$$X_t^B := \begin{cases} X_t & \text{if } t < \tau_B \\ \Delta_B & \text{if } t \geq \tau_B \end{cases}$$

where $\tau_B := \inf\{t > 0 |\ X_t \notin B\}$. The transition semigroup of $(X_t^B)_{t\geq 0}$ is given by

$$p_t^B(x, A) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in A,\ t < \tau_B)$$

and

$$p_t^B(x, \{\Delta_B\}) := 1 - p_t^B(x, B), \quad p_t^B(\Delta_B, \{\Delta_B\}) := 1,$$

for $x \in B$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(B)$. A function $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(F)$ is extended to Δ_B by setting $f(\Delta_B) = 0$. For functions of this form, the transition semigroup of $(X_t^B)_{t\geq 0}$ reads

$$p_t^B f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x(f(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\{t<\tau_B\}}).$$

The set B is called *regular* if for each $x \in F \backslash B$, we have $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_B = 0) = 1$.
Let $(M_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a continuous locally square integrable martingale additive functional and denote by $\mu_{\langle M\rangle}$ the Revuz measure of $(\langle M\rangle_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Furthermore, the transition semigroup $(\tilde{p}_t^B)_{t\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\tilde{p}_t^B f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t f(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\{t<\tau_B\}}),$$

where $Z_t := \exp(M_t - \frac{1}{2}\langle M\rangle_t)$, $t \geq 0$ and corresponds to the process obtained from $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$ (see Section 3.2) killed when leaving B. In the special case $B = F$ this definition reduces to the transition semigroup of $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$.

Definition 4.1. A Borel measure ν on $\mathcal{B}(F)$ is said to be of

(i) *Kato class* if $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \sup_{x\in F} R_\lambda \nu(x) = 0$,

(ii) *extended Kato class* if $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \sup_{x\in F} R_\lambda \nu(x) < 1$,

(iii) *local Kato class* if $\mathbb{1}_K \nu$ is of Kato class for every compact set $K \subset F$.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that $\frac{1}{2}\mu_{\langle M\rangle}$ is a positive Radon measure of local and extended Kato class and let B be a regular open subset of F. Then $(\tilde{p}_t^B)_{t\geq 0}$ has the doubly Feller property. Moreover, $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a martingale and*

$$\limsup_{t\to 0}\sup_{x\in D}\mathbb{E}_x(|Z_t-1|\mathbb{1}_{\{t<\tau_D\}})=0 \text{ for any relatively compact open set } D\subset B,$$
$$\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\sup_{x\in B}\mathbb{E}_x(Z_s^p\mathbb{1}_{\{s<\tau_B\}})<\infty \text{ for some } p>1 \text{ and each } t>0.$$

Proof. See [CK08, Theorem 3.3]. □

Consider again the n independent sticky Brownian motions on $[0,\infty)$ discussed in Section 3.1 with transition function $(p_t^{\beta,n})_{t>0}$ and Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^n, D(\mathcal{E}^n))$ on $L^2(E;\mu_n)$. In the following, we introduce a density function $\varrho=\phi^2$. Under suitable conditions on ϕ it is possible to perform a Girsanov transformation such that the transition semigroup of the transformed process $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$ still possesses the strong Feller property (or even the doubly Feller property). By the preceding section the transformed Dirichlet form is of the form considered in [FGV14]. In this way, we are able to strengthen the results in [FGV14].

Remark 4.3. For functions ϕ such that the conditions of Theorem 4.2 are fulfilled for $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ as in (3.12) and $B=E$, we immediately get that the transition function has the doubly Feller property and the process $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$ solves (1.1) for every starting point in E. Unfortunately, we are also interested in densities ϱ such that the corresponding Revuz measure is not of extended Kato class. Such potentials are of particular interest for the application to the so-called wetting model in the theory of stochastic interface models. For this reason, we construct a strong Feller transition semigroup for a larger class of densities using Theorem 4.2 and an approximation argument. A direct application fails, since the Kato condition on $\mu_{\langle M\rangle}$ ensures that the drift caused by the Girsanov transformation does not "explode". However, this criterion does only take into account the variation of the drift, but not its direction, which is of particular importance in our setting.

Example 4.4. Let $n=1$ and $\phi(x):=\exp(-\frac{1}{2}x^2)$. In this case, $(\ln\phi)'(x)=-x$. Hence, we expect that the process $\mathbb{M}^\phi$ has the representation

$$dX_t=\sqrt{2}\ \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_t)dB_t-2X_t\ \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_t)dt+\frac{1}{\beta}\mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(X_t)dt.$$

Note that the additional drift term is always non-positive, since $X_t\in[0,\infty)$ for all $t>0$ and thus, it attracts the process to 0. However, the logarithmic derivative of ϕ is unbounded and the energy measure is even not of extended Kato class. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}R_\lambda\mu_{\langle\ln\phi\rangle}(x)&=\int_{[0,\infty)}r_\lambda^\beta(x,y)d\mu_{\langle\ln\phi\rangle}(y)\\&=2\int_{[0,\infty)}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}(e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}|x-y|}-e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}(x+y)})+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda}+\beta\lambda}2e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}(x+y)}\Big)\ y^2dy\end{aligned}$$

is unbounded in x for each fixed $\lambda>0$, since

$$\int_{[0,\infty)}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}|x-y|}\ y^2dy=\frac{1}{\lambda}x^2-\frac{1}{2\lambda^2}e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}x}+\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\to\infty\ \text{ as } x\to\infty,$$

whereas the remaining terms converge to 0 as $x\to\infty$. Thus, it is not possible to apply Theorem 4.2 to this specific choice of ϕ.

Assume that ϕ is given such that Condition 2.3 is fulfilled. Then $\phi \in D(\mathcal{E}^n)_{\mathrm{loc}}$ and the energy measure $\mu_{\langle \ln \phi \rangle} = \mu_{\langle M^{[\ln \phi]} \rangle}$ is given by

$$d\mu_{\langle \ln \phi \rangle}(x) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial_i \ln \phi(x))^2 \, dx_i \prod_{j \neq i} (dx_j + \beta \delta_0^j) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x_i) \, (\partial_i \ln \phi(x))^2 \, d\mu_n(x) \tag{4.1}$$

and thus, by Revuz correspondence we see that

$$\langle M^{[\ln \phi]} \rangle_t = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^t (\partial_i \ln \phi(X_s))^2 \, \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^i) ds. \tag{4.2}$$

By this we can deduce that $(M_t^{[\ln \phi]})_{t \geq 0}$ has the representation

$$M_t^{[\ln \phi]} = \sqrt{2} \, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^t \partial_i \ln \phi(X_s) \, \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^i) dB_s^i. \tag{4.3}$$

Example 4.5. Let $\nabla \ln \phi$ additionally be essentially bounded w.r.t. μ_n. Then $\frac{1}{2}\mu_{\langle \ln \phi \rangle} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\langle M^{[\ln \phi]} \rangle}$ is of local and extended Kato class.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K := [0,k)^n$ as well as $\tau_k := \inf\{t > 0 |\ X_t \notin K\}$. Let ϕ_k be given such that $\phi_k = \phi$ on K, Condition 2.3 is fulfilled for ϕ_k and $\nabla \ln \phi_k \in L^\infty(E; \mu_n)$. We define the exponential functional $(Z_t^k)_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$Z_t^k := \exp(M_t^{[\ln \phi_k]} - \frac{1}{2}\langle M^{[\ln \phi_k]} \rangle_t).$$

Note that we are in fact only interested in the restriction of ϕ to the set K, since the function is used to define a Girsanov transformation of $(p_t^{\beta,n})_{t>0}$ which is killed when leaving K. Nevertheless, in order to give meaning to Z_t^k for $t \geq \tau_k$, we extend ϕ_k to E.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\varrho = \phi^2$ be given as in Condition 2.3 and $Z_t = \exp(M_t^{[\ln \phi]} - \frac{1}{2}\langle M^{[\ln \phi]} \rangle_t)$, $t \geq 0$. Then the transition function $(p_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by $p_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t f(X_t))$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ and $x \in E$ which corresponds to the strong Markov process $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$ has the strong Feller property.*

Proof. Let $k > 0$ and $K = [0,k)^n$. K is regular, i.e., $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_K = 0) = 1$ for each $x \in E \backslash K$. We define the transition function $(p_t^k)_{t \geq 0}$ similar as $(p_t)_{t \geq 0}$ by $p_t^k f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t^k f(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau_K\}})$. By the assumptions on ϕ_k, Example 4.5 and Theorem 4.2, $(p_t^k)_{t \geq 0}$ has the doubly Feller property for each $k > 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ and choose a constant $C(f) < \infty$ such that $|f(x)| \leq C(f)$ for all $x \in E$. Clearly, $p_t f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$. Hence, it suffices to show that $p_t f$ is continuous. We have for $x \in D := [0,d]^n$, $d > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |p_t f(x) - p_t^k f(x)| &= |\mathbb{E}_x(Z_t f(X_t)) - \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t^k f(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau_K\}})| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}_x(Z_t f(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\{t \geq \tau_K\}})| \\ &\leq C(f) \, |\mathbb{E}_x(Z_t \mathbb{1}_{\{t \geq \tau_K\}})| \\ &\leq C(f) \, \sup_{x \in D} |\mathbb{E}_x(Z_t \mathbb{1}_{\{t \geq \tau_K\}})| \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on D by (2.2). Hence, $p_t f$ is continuous on D for each $d > 0$ and so $p_t f \in C_b(E)$. □

Remark 4.7. Let $D \subset E$ be compact. Then $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sup_{x\in D} \mathbb{E}_x(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_k \le t\}} Z_t) = 0$ holds for example if there exists some $p > 1$ such that $\sup_{x\in D} \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t^p) < \infty$. Indeed, let $1 < q < \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then

$$\sup_{x\in D} \mathbb{E}_x(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_k \le t\}} Z_t) \le \sup_{x\in D} \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{x\in D} \big(\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_k \le t)\big)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Define $C_t := \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \max_{0\le s\le t} X_s^i$ for $t \ge 0$. Then for $x \in D$ and $k > d$

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_k \le t) \le \mathbb{P}_0(C_t \ge k - d) \le n \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}} \frac{4}{k-d} \exp(-\frac{(k-d)^2}{2t}) =: C(k) \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty$$

due to [KS98, p.96,(8.3)'], since $C_t \le \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \max_{0\le s\le t} |B_s^i|$ almost surely with respect to $\mathbb{P}_0$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. By Section 3.2 there exists a strong Markov process $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$ with transition semigroup $(p_t)_{t\ge 0}$ and the Dirichlet form associated to $\mathbb{M}^\varrho$ is given by the closure of $(\mathcal{E}^\varrho, \mathcal{D})$ on $L^2(E; \varrho\mu_n)$. Note that in this case $\mathcal{D} \cap D(L) \supset C_c^2(E)$ and $C_c^2(E)$ is also dense in $D(\mathcal{E}^n)$. Indeed, Lemma 3.7 is based on the fact that $C_c^1([0,\infty))$ is dense for the one dimensional form which also holds for $C_c^2([0,\infty))$ (and even $C_c^\infty([0,\infty))$) by [CF12, Theorem 5.2.8(i)]. The strong Feller property is shown in Theorem 4.6 and the last statement holds by [FOT11, Exercise 4.2.1]. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6. The statement follows by the results proven in [FGV14, Corollary 4.18, Theorem 5.6] considering that the absolute continuity condition [FOT11, (4.2.9)] is fulfilled. □

5 Application to the dynamical wetting model

5.1 Densities corresponding to potential energies

In the following, let $\phi \in C^2(E)$ be strictly positive such that $\phi \in L^2(E; \mu_n)$. Set $H := -\ln \phi$ (thus, $\phi = \exp(-H)$) and assume additionally that there exist real constants $K_1 \ge 0$, K_2 and K_3 such that

(i) $H(x) \ge -K_1$ for all $x \in E$,

(ii) $\partial_i H(x) \le K_2$ for all $x \in \{x_i = 0\} := \{x \in E |\ x_i = 0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$,

(iii) $\partial_i^2 H(x) \le K_3$ for all $x \in E$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

If we can verify (2.2), Condition 2.3 is fulfilled and thus, the results of Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6 hold accordingly.

Using (4.3), (4.2) and Ito's formula we see that

$$\begin{aligned} M_t^{[\ln\phi]} - \frac{1}{2}\langle M^{[\ln\phi]}\rangle_t &= \sqrt{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \partial_i \ln\phi(X_s)\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^i) dB_s^i - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \big(\partial_i \ln\phi(X_s)\big)^2 \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^i) ds \\ &= H(X_0) - H(X_t) + \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \partial_i H(X_s)\mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(X_s^i) ds \qquad (5.1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \big(\partial_i^2 H(X_s) - \partial_i H(X_s)^2\big)\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^i) ds \\ &\le H(x) + K_1 + \frac{n}{\beta} K_2 t + n K_3 t \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{P}_x$-a.s. for each $x \in E$.
Let $p > 1$ be arbitrary, $D \subset E$ compact. Then it holds

$$\sup_{x\in D} \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t^p) \leq \exp\big(p\,(\sup_{x\in D} H(x) + K_1 + \frac{n}{\beta}K_2 t + nK_3 t)\big) < \infty \quad \text{for every } t > 0.$$

Thus, in view of Remark 4.7, (2.2) holds true.

5.2 Densities corresponding to potential energies given by pair potentials

Assume that H is given by a potential with nearest neighbor pair interaction, i.e., H is defined as in (2.6). In particular, $\kappa := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-V(r))dr < \infty$, V is convex, $V'(0) = 0$ and V' is non-decreasing. Then, we have $H(x) \geq -\frac{n}{2}b$,

$$\partial_i H(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j\in\{0,\dots,n+1\}\\ |i-j|=1}} V'(x_i - x_j) \quad \big(= \frac{1}{2}V'(x_i - x_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{2}V'(x_i - x_{i+1})\big)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and moreover,

$$\partial_i^2 H(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j\in\{0,\dots,n+1\}\\ |i-j|=1}} V''(x_i - x_j) \quad \big(= \frac{1}{2}V''(x_i - x_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{2}V''(x_i - x_{i+1})\big).$$

Since $\partial_i H(x) = \frac{1}{2}(V'(-x_{i-1}) + V'(-x_{i+1})) \leq 0$ if $x_i = 0$, we get $\partial_i H(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \{x_i = 0\}$ and furthermore, $\partial_i^2 H(x) \leq c_+$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, (i)-(iii) above are fulfilled with $K_1 := \frac{n}{2}b$, $K_2 := 0$ and $K_3 := c_+$. Note that $\phi \in L^2(E; \mu_n)$.

6 Uniqueness of weak solutions

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\varrho = \phi^2$ be given as in Condition 2.3. Then the solution to (2.4) is unique in law.*

Proof. By [GS72, §24, Theorem 1, Corollary 1] the one dimensional sticky Brownian motion on $[0, \infty)$ is unique in law. Thus, the same holds true for n independent sticky Brownian motions for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, we can conclude that the solution to (2.4) is unique in law due to [IW89, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.2], since its law is constructed by a Girsanov transformation. □

Acknowledgment

We thank Torben Fattler for helpful comments and discussions. R. Voßhall gratefully acknowledges financial support in the form of a fellowship of the German state Rhineland-Palatine.

References

[AKR03] S. Albeverio, Y. Kondratiev and M. Röckner. Strong Feller properties for distorted Brownian motion and applications to finite particle systems with singular interactions. In *Finite and Infinite Dimensional Analysis in Honor of Lenorad Gross*, volume 317 of *Contemp. Math.*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[AR95] S. Albeverio and M. Röckner. Dirichlet form methods for uniqueness of martingale problems and applications. In *Stochastic analysis (Ithaca, NY, 1993)*, volume 57 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 513–528. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[AS64] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. , Dover Publications, Inc. , New York, ninth Dover printing, tenth GPO printing, 1964.

[BG14] B. Baur and M. Grothaus. Construction and strong Feller property of distorted elliptic diffusion with reflecting boundary. *Potential Anal.*, 40(4):391–425, 2014.

[BGS13] B. Baur, M. Grothaus and P. Stilgenbauer. Construction of $\mathcal{L}^p$-strong Feller Processes via Dirichlet Forms and Applications to Elliptic Diffusions. *Potential Anal.*, 38(4):1233–1258, 2013.

[BH91] N. Bouleau and F. Hirsch. *Dirichlet Forms and Analysis on Wiener Space*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1991.

[CF12] Z. Chen and M. Fukushima. *Symmetric Markov Processes, Time Change, and Boundary Theory*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs*. Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2012.

[CK08] Z. Chen and K. Kuwae. On doubly Feller property. *Osaka J. Math.*, 46:909-930, 2009.

[Chu85] K.L. Chung. Doubly-Feller Process with Multiplicative Functional. In *Seminar on Stochastic Processes, 1985*, volume 12 of *Progress in Probability and Statistics*, pages 63–78. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1986.

[Ebe96] A. Eberle. Girsanov-type transformations of local Dirichlet forms: an analytic approach. *Osaka J. Math.*, 33:497–531, 1996.

[EP14] H. -J. Engelbert and G. Peskir. Stochastic differential equations for sticky Brownian motion. *Stochastics*, 86(6):993–1021.

[FG07] T. Fattler and M. Grothaus. Strong Feller properties for distorted Brownian motion with reflecting boundary condition and an application to continuous N-particle systems with singular interactions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 246:217–241, 2007.

[FGV14] T. Fattler, M. Grothaus, and R. Voßhall. Construction and analysis of a sticky reflected distorted Brownian motion. To appear in *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré*, 2014.

[FOT11] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda. *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, volume 19 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011.

[Fun05] T. Funaki. Stochastic interface models. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics*, volume 1869 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 103–274. Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[FT96] M. Fukushima and M. Tomisaki. Construction and decomposition of reflecting diffusions on Lipschitz domains with Hölder cusps. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 106:521–557, 1996.

[Gia02] G. Giacomin. Limit theorems for random interface models of Ginzburg-Landau $\nabla\phi$ type. In *Stochastic partial differential equations and applications (Trento, 2002)*, volume 227 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 235–253. Dekker, New York, 2002.

[Gra88] C. Graham. The martingale problem with sticky reflection conditions, and a system of particles interacting at the boundary. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, 24(1):45–72, 1988.

[GS72] I.I. Gikhman and A.V. Skorochod. *Stochastic differential equations*, Band 72 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[IW89] N. Ikeda and Sh. Watanabe. *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, volume 24 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, second edition, 1989.

[Ka01] O. Kallenberg. *Foundations of modern probability - 2nd edition*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[Kni81] F.B. Knight. *Essentials of Brownian motion and diffusion*, volume 18 of *Mathematical surveys*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1981.

[KPS10] V. Kostrykin, J. Potthoff and R. Schrader. Brownian motion on metric graphs: feller Brownian motions on intervals revisited. *arXiv:1008.3761*, 2010.

[KS98] I. Karatzas and S.E. Shreve. *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, volume 113 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[MR92] Z.M. Ma and M. Röckner. *Introduction to the theory of (nonsymmetric) Dirichlet forms*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.